

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/971,137	10/03/2001	Steven D. Ims	RSW920010162US1	4056
46320	7590 05/25/2006		EXAMINER	
CAREY, RODRIGUEZ, GREENBERG & PAUL, LLP			HARRELL, ROBERT B	
	GREENBERG			
1300 CORPORATE CENTER WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 105G			2142	
WELLINGTON, FL 33414				
		DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/971,137	IMS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Robert B. Harrell	2142

Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit	
,	Robert B. Harrell	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress
The amendment document filed on is considered and CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment docum			
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include in the specification: B. New paragraph(s) should not be under the control of the specification:	markings.	BE NON-COMPLI	ANT:
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other	CFR 1.72.	,	
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C B. The practice of submitting proposed drawshowing amended figures, without mar C. Other 	FR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimin	ated. Replaceme	ent drawings
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. Not number by using one of the following set (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered) ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper has E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	ne text of all pending claims (incluing the proper status identifier, and atte: the status of every claim mustatus identifiers: (Original), (Currettered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the indiv at be indicated afte ently amended), (awn-currently ame	ridual status er its claim Canceled), ended).
☐ 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or no	ot signed in accordance with 37 C	FR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format required	d by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	E:		
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-confiled after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 			
 Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, wh correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued ex amendment filed within a suspension period under 3: Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checonon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF 	the following: a preliminary ament to the following: a preliminary ament the following: a present the following: a present a present the following: a present a presen	ndment, a non-fin 1.114), a suppler nendment filed in i	nal amendment mental response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1 amendment or an amendment filed in response to		amendment is a	non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result	t in:		

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

(571) 272-3895

Telephone No.

Part of Paper No. 20060523

Continuation of 4(e) Other:

The text of pending claims not being currently amended must be presented in clean version, i.e., without any markings (e.g., see claim 2) (see 37 C.F.R. 1.121(c(3)) and MPEP 714(II C(C))). Also, claim 2 (line 4 "an edge server") does not comply with paragraph 2 of the prior Office Action mailed 28 Decmber 2005 as it causes a lack of clear antecedent bases for line 6 of claim 2 "said edge server"; as but an example of numerous cases where clear antecedent basis are lacking and not an exhausting recital. Any other term(s) or phrase(s) over looked by examiner and not listed above which start with either "the" or "said" and do not have a single proper antecedent basis also is indefinite for the reasons outlined in this paragraph. Also, this is but an example where term(s) or phrase(s) are introduced more than once without adequate use of either "the" or "said" for the subsequent use of the term(s) or phrase(s). Moreover, multiple introduction of a term, or changes in tense, results in a lack of clear antecedent basis for term(s) or phrase(s) which relied upon the introduced term. Failure to correct all existing cases where clear antecedent basis are lacking can be viewed as non-responsive.

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2142 .